[The FDA's Vioxx shennanigans are parallel to the CDC's hiding of thimerosal's adverse effects by means of deliberate data-dilution and by willing collusion by the IOM, FDA, and AAP. -Teresa]

FDA report links Vioxx to 27,785 heart attacks, deaths Agency releases study, had been warned of risk before

Bloomberg News
November 3, 2004
http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/health/bal-bz.merck03nov03,1,948633.story?coll=bal-health-headlines>

WA\$\$\$HINGTON - Merck & Co. Inc.'s recalled Vioxx painkiller may have contributed to 27,785 heart attacks and deaths from 1999 through 2003 because of the drug's effects on the cardiovascular system, U.S. regulators said in a report published online yesterday.

Vioxx caused more heart attacks and deaths from sudden cardiac arrest than would have occurred if patients taking Vioxx were on Pfizer Inc.'s

Celebrex, Food and Drug Administration researcher David J. Graham concluded after analyzing 1.4 million patient records.

Merck's announcement of the Vioxx withdrawal, the biggest drug recall ever, wiped out almost \$27 billion of the stock's market value Sept. 30.

The Wall Street Journal reported Graham's estimate of deaths and heart attacks Oct. 6. The agency posted the findings online yesterday.

Merck withdrew Vioxx because of a three-year company study showing that

patients taking it for more than 18 months faced twice the risk of a heart attack as those taking a placebo.

The FDA and researchers for Kaiser, the largest U.S. nonprofit insurer

with 8 million members, had presented the report's preliminary findings

in August at a conference in France.

The study was based on an analysis of the records of 1.4 million Kaiser

members in California. Graham compared the incidence of heart attacks and sudden cardiac death for patients taking Vioxx with those on Celebrex.

Based on 92.8 million U.S. prescriptions for Vioxx, also known as rofecoxib, from 1999 to 2003, Graham estimated that 27,785 more patients

taking the Merck product may have had heart attacks or sudden cardiac death than among those on Celebrex, made by Pfizer Inc. of New York, the

world's biggest drugmaker.

'Widespread exposure'

"The population impact of rofecoxib's increased risk is great because of

the widespread exposure to the drug," said Graham, the FDA's associate

director for science in the office of drug safety, in a report dated Sept. 30.

"This illustrates the effect that even a relatively small increase in risk can have if you're dealing with a serious outcome that is not

rare

in the general population" such as heart attacks and sudden cardiac death.

About 20 million people in the United States tried the drug since its 1999 introduction, according to Merck, the No. 2 U.S. drugmaker.

"In general, there is no reliable way to measure the actual use of Vioxx

in the population, and therefore no reliable way to estimate the actual

events," said Chris Loder, a Merck spokesman. "Because heart attacks and

strokes occur in the general population, one cannot say that if someone

had an event while taking Vioxx, that Vioxx caused it."

Sought halt

Graham also had said in the report that Vioxx use should be halted.

"Prior to today, my conclusions regarding rofecoxib were that high-dose

use of the drug should be ended and that lower- dose rofecoxib should not be used by physicians or patients," Graham said.

"If lower-dose rofecoxib remained on the market, physicians and patients

needed to understand that risk [of heart attacks and sudden cardiac death] was substantially increased and that there were safer alternatives."

Two congressional committees are questioning the FDA's actions to ensure the safety of Vioxx.

The New England Journal of Medicine released a letter Oct. 6 from the Cleveland Clinic's chairman of cardiovascular medicine, Dr. Eric J. Topol, saying Merck and the FDA ignored earlier studies showing that Vioxx was linked to elevated risk of heart disease.

The FDA, which had told Merck in 2002 to include warnings about the heart risks on the Vioxx label, never required the company to conduct additional safety studies or curtail its marketing, Topol wrote.

"We are in a situation where there seems to be no end to negative reaction for Merck, even if the news has been previously reported," said

analyst Ira Loss of Washington Analysis in Washington, which advises institutional investors.

Merck's shares fell \$1.48, or 5.2 percent, to close at \$26.80 yesterday

on the New York Stock Exchange. The stock has plunged 42 percent this year.

On Monday, Merck's shares fell 9.7 percent after The Wall Street Journal

reported that the drugmaker tried for years to stop safety concerns from

hurting Vioxx sales. The report was based on internal Merck documents and marketing materials.

Alabama attorney Andrew Birchfield said yesterday that he turned over company documents and statements obtained in litigation to U.S. Senate

investigators probing the recall.

Liability exposure

Investors were trying to estimate the hit Merck may take from lawsuits

over Vioxx. The company said Oct. 21 that it had about \$630 million in product liability insurance and hadn't established reserves for Vioxx litigation. Merck reported that more than 300 lawsuits had been filed by Oct. 15.

Standard & Poor's said Monday that it may downgrade Merck's triple-A rating on corporate credit and senior unsecured debt because of "increasing concern about the magnitude of possible litigation."

Copyright © 2004

The material in this post is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes. For more information go to:

http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/17/107.html
http://oregon.uoregon.edu/~csundt/documents.htm
http://oregon.uoregon.edu/~7Ecsundt/documents.htm
If you wish to use copyrighted material from this email for purposes that go beyond 'fair use', you must obtain permission from the copyright owner.