

CDC brazenly tries to poison all pregnant women with whooping cough vaccines that we know don't even work

Tuesday, October 30, 2012 by: Ethan A. Huff, staff writer <http://www.naturalnews.com/>

(NaturalNews) The *Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices* (ACIP), which makes formal recommendations to the U.S. *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention* (CDC) about vaccine guidelines, recently decided that all pregnant women should be vaccinated for whooping cough (pertussis). Defying up-to-date science showing that [the vaccine does not even work](#), ACIP voted 14-0, with one abstention, to make it official U.S. government policy that pregnant women receive the jab, in order to supposedly pass on immunity to their babies.

This sudden policy change follows [a similar decision](#) by the U.K.'s *National Health Service* (NHS) to begin pushing pregnant Britons to get vaccinated for whooping cough as well, which is the only other vaccine besides influenza that health authorities now recommend for pregnant women. It also comes about one year after ACIP made a contradictory recommendation to begin administering the vaccine during pregnancy only to women who had not previously been vaccinated for the disease.

Since very few women -- fewer than three percent -- followed through with the CDC's earlier recommendations, the agency apparently decided to kick things up a gear by recommending that all women, regardless of vaccination status, receive a Tdap booster shot, which contains antigens for tetanus, diphtheria, and pertussis. This recommendation comes despite the fact that the whooping cough [vaccine](#) admittedly does not provide lasting protection against the disease.

Whooping cough vaccine loaded with toxic additives that obstruct fetal development

After declaring, without any evidence, that the whooping cough vaccine is "safe" during pregnancy, Dr. Marc Siegel, an associate professor of medicine at *New York University* (NYU)'s Langone Medical Center, added in a recent announcement that immunity from the vaccine is passed directly from mother to child through her breast milk.

But what else is being passed through this breast milk? According to [the prescribing](#)

[information sheet](#) for the Sanofi Pasteur Tdap vaccine Adacel, the combination jab contains a toxic mixture of formaldehyde, aluminum phosphate, 2-phenoxyethanol, ammonium sulfate, and glutaraldehyde. Likewise, [the GlaxoSmithKline Tdap vaccine Boostrix](#) contains a blend of antigens adsorbed onto aluminum salts, as well as the adjuvants aluminum hydroxide and aluminum phosphate.

Formaldehyde, of course, [was recently added to the *National Toxicology Program \(NTP\)*'s *Report on Carcinogens* as a known carcinogen](#), which means it causes cancer. And aluminum adjuvants, as many *Natural News* readers are already well aware, <http://www.naturalnews.com>.

Are these the types of ingredients pregnant women should be injecting into themselves while pregnant? Are these the types of ingredients pregnant women should be injecting into themselves *at all*? It is highly concerning that [a CDC panel composed entirely of alleged medical professionals](#) would advise all pregnant women to pump their muscles full of cancer-causing chemicals and aluminum-based adjuvants.

Whooping cough outbreaks affect mostly people that have already been vaccinated

These experts would probably claim that facing the potential side effects of the whooping cough vaccine and its toxic additives is worth the risk to protect children from contracting the disease. But as we have seen throughout the past couple years, the vast majority of those afflicted with whooping cough during recent outbreaks have been individuals [that were already vaccinated for whooping cough](#), which proves the vaccine does not work.

No matter how you look at it, in other words, there is simply no scientific basis for urging pregnant women to get the whooping cough vaccine -- not now, not ever. Such advice is reckless and irresponsible at best, and appears to be nothing more than a product of greed and perhaps even eugenics.

Sources for this article include:

http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/news/fullstory_130634.html

<http://www.reuters.com>

<http://www.naturalnews.com>