

## **Gary Goldman, PhD - Exposing Problems with Chickenpox Vaccine**

On March 17, 1995, with little public fanfare, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved another vaccine, and this was subsequently added to the nation's childhood immunization schedule. Gradually, one by one, states passed laws mandating that each and every infant be inoculated at age 12 months with the newly approved live virus, to help protect against a common childhood disease.

From 1995 through late 2002, I served as a Research/Analyst in a program, supported by a grant from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), designed to study the effects of this newly licensed vaccine in a geographical region of some 300,000 residents. Several years after the program began, positive aspects of vaccination were allowed to be published in scientific journals; however, I noticed that data I had collected suggesting that adverse effects might well be associated with the vaccination program were being handled quite differently. A preliminary trend suggested that the vaccination itself might have the potential to spawn a widespread epidemic among adults of a disease far more serious than the one being inoculated against. When CDC-affiliated health authorities learned this, they began to take action, including legal steps, to prevent my making these findings known to the scientific community and the public.

But whenever research data and information concerning potential adverse effects associated with a vaccine used in human populations are suppressed and/or misrepresented by health authorities, not only is this most disturbing, it goes against all accepted scientific norms and dangerously compromises scientists' professional ethics. And so, to expose and warn against what I believe to be just such abuses of ethics and public trust, I have written the book you are about to read.----- Gary S. [Goldman](#), Ph.D. Computer Scientist/Research Analyst

<http://www.injectionbook.com/pages/1/index.htm>

1. Info on Gary's job as Research/Analyst "insider" who was assigned to study a specific disease in a local community

<http://www.injectionbook.com/pages/2/index.htm>

2. Website describes events once he started to see Shingles increased in vaccinated

<http://www.injectionbook.com/pages/2/index.htm>

3. Fiction book based on his research - see below

<http://www.injectionbook.com/pages/1/index.htm>

4. LA County Notice to Cease & Desist & Gary/lawyer response
5. Supporting Abstracts including 3 Abstracts written by Gary Goldman exposing the problem (WHICH were REFUSED by US Medical Journals and finally published in Europe's Vaccine Journal

6. NEW MEDICAL JOURNAL

<http://www.vaccineveritas.com/pages/1/index.htm>

Medical Veritas ("latin for truth")

The International Journal of Medical Truth

(I will send an extra email on this)

If you know researchers who would like to actually get their research published on the truth

If you write and would like to write for this journal - contact Gary Goldman, PhD

<http://www.injectionbook.com/pages/2/index.htm>

Rashes of Controversy

Foreword

In and of themselves, numbers have a certain intrinsic value. Ultimately, however, when numbers represent "people," their meaning can take on far greater significance.

The book you're about to read had its origins several years ago, when as an epidemiological researcher I began to ask, "Why?" about some surprising (and controversial) numbers I'd started to gather during an important population-tracking project that monitored the course of a certain disease after widespread vaccination against it began.

I might have just shrugged those figures off, passed them on to my superiors, taken my paychecks and kept quiet. But I couldn't do that, because I knew that each of those surprising, undesirable numbers

represented nothing less than a suffering person. And I hope my telling you this will help you understand why I had to write this book, because it's not primarily about the numbers that started it, but about the hurting people those numbers represent - about, actually, the potential millions more people who may even come to include you who read it. Without the information this book contains, you would have likely remained ignorant about why potential millions may suffer in a disease epidemic that is being predicted for the years ahead of us, based on those very "unwanted numbers" I discovered.

You see, along with other experts who have reviewed the studies I made, I am convinced that this book's "numbers" have been soundly arrived at. Yet though that's important, my most crucial task will be to tell the story behind the numbers, and to relate that story primarily in a narrative told in clear, non-scientific, human terms that can be understood by as many people, whether scientifically knowledgeable or not, as possible.

Not that the science behind the numbers is unimportant. For those interested in and trained evaluating and analyzing the science of the numbers, I've interspersed supporting documentation and technical explanations, in the forms of charts, articles published in scientific journals and other materials throughout the far-less-technical running story-narrative I've written for the "general" reader. I've provided more such matter in detailed appendices, to be found at the back of the book.

I've tried in the story-narrative to clearly and simply define any technical terms that may be unfamiliar to general readers, in most cases as soon as these terms occur. So, as you read the story-narrative, please feel free to skip over whichever medical-scientific supports may prove difficult for you to "make head or tail" of; they're included for the benefit of those science-minded readers who are fully able to read, appreciate and interpret them.

Indeed, the most important human issue this book raises involves not the numbers it reports, but the depth of human anguish that may be imposed on people, without their knowledge or consent, by an elite few who may intentionally or unwittingly abuse their positions of authority.

As a research/analyst "insider" who was assigned to study a specific disease in a local community chosen as representative of a sample U.S. population, I certainly recognize the positive influence of vaccines and

the beneficial role vaccination against numerous diseases has played in public health. Yet I am deeply concerned that all vaccines be developed and used as safely as possible; I also believe we can always find room for improvement in that regard. Thus, I have written this book hoping that you will be moved by what you learn within these covers to join me in striving to encourage future reforms in vaccine-related medical research and practices.

Certain health authorities - including some of the very same people who encouraged, welcomed and published, in prestigious medical journals like The Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA), virtually all my positive findings about the vaccination we were studying, - wanted me to tell only half the story you're about to read. It seems now that they'd like to "cover up" what I'm going to expose to you in this book.

But it is my firm conviction that people like you have a right to know, when certain medical interventions are adopted, even mandated by law, how these interventions may affect the population at large - especially when such interventions may result in suffering for you and your loved ones. So I have moved forward despite health authorities' objections to write and publish the side of the story they aren't eager for you to learn. And when you've read it, I'm confident that you'll understand why I'm dedicating this effort to people like you, those you love and care for most, here in America and throughout the world.

## Introduction

On March 17, 1995, with little public fanfare, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved another vaccine, and this was subsequently added to the nation's childhood immunization schedule. Gradually, one by one, states passed laws mandating that each and every infant be inoculated at age 12 months with the newly approved live virus, to help protect against a common childhood disease.

From 1995 through late 2002, I served as a Research/Analyst in a program, supported by a grant from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), designed to study the effects of this newly licensed

vaccine in a geographical region of some 300,000 residents. Several years after the program began, positive aspects of vaccination were allowed to be published in scientific journals; however, I noticed that data I had collected suggesting that adverse effects might well be associated with the vaccination program were being handled quite differently. A preliminary trend suggested that the vaccination itself might have the potential to spawn a widespread epidemic among adults of a disease far more serious than the one being inoculated against. When CDC-affiliated health authorities learned this, they began to take action, including legal steps, to prevent my making these findings known to the scientific community and the public.

But whenever research data and information concerning potential adverse effects associated with a vaccine used in human populations are suppressed and/or misrepresented by health authorities, not only is this most disturbing, it goes against all accepted scientific norms and dangerously compromises scientists' professional ethics. And so, to expose and warn against what I believe to be just such abuses of ethics and public trust, I have written the book you are about to read.

n Gary S. Goldman, Ph.D.

Computer Scientist/Research Analyst

In 1994, anticipating the approval of a new vaccine, the highest health authorities in the U.S. authorized and set in motion, at taxpayers' expense, what would be a 10-year (and possibly longer) population study project. This project would monitor a specific childhood disease that hadn't previously been targeted by vaccination, and study the effects of this vaccination on the community. But let me ask you: As a concerned citizen and taxpayer.

. Wouldn't you think that before such a project began, careful attention would be given to devising methods of collecting data that would

allow researchers to detect both positive and negative (or deleterious) trends that might possibly arise during the study of the newly licensed vaccine?

. Wouldn't you think that if deleterious effects of vaccination did begin to surface - effects far worse than those caused by the common childhood disease being vaccinated against - medical authorities like those at our nation's Centers for Disease Control would be eager, in the interests of public health and safety, to devise additional studies, with perhaps improved methods and controls, that might either confirm or disprove the existence of such effects?

. Wouldn't you think that if evidence did support these deleterious effects of vaccination, and if scientific literature also alluded to such effects, and in addition described the biological mechanism involved in the ill-effects, that the CDC might move quickly to re-assess the recommendations for vaccination, which may have been made under faulty assumptions that no such adverse effects would result?

. Wouldn't you think that both the CDC and the local public health department that uncovered the ill-effects would encourage publication of balanced research that objectively included both positive and negative findings, based on careful analysis of project data and studies?

. Wouldn't you think that if findings showed that vaccination of all healthy children against this common disease might cause a far worse disease outbreak - of epidemic magnitude, mass suffering and enormous cost among adults - the nation's foremost health authorities would feel compelled to modify their vaccination policy, or at least to alert the public to the possibility that this epidemic might loom in our nation's future?

By now it's likely that, just as I did when they crowded my mind, you answered, "Yes" to all these questions.

Yet you may be surprised and even distressed, as I was, to learn that certain prominent health authorities' answers to these questions seemed to be anything but "yeses":

. That when I began my research on this project, for which my considerable past analytical experience had amply qualified me, I was given only negligible information about the nature or medical background/history of the disease whose effects among the population I was to study.

. That my superiors gave me no information about any prior critical studies suggesting that the vaccination program might have deleterious effects - even though, as I later learned, medical journals had already published such literature.

. That my superiors provided me with little guidance about what kinds of study methodology they might prefer, so I had to exercise considerable personal initiative and discretion to learn about the disease and devise methods to correlate and analyze numerous project data.

. That when I did come to realize that the program to vaccinate every child to prevent this common childhood disease also might adversely impact adults, who would experience increased incidence of a disease that was potentially far more serious and life-threatening than the one being vaccinated against, my superiors (1) severely criticized my preliminary findings and hypothesis; (2) virtually ordered me to forsake this line of investigation altogether; (3) urged me to wait another year before presenting updated findings, when I persisted with my probing and analysis

of these matters; and (4) even withdrew the support of an expert who had assisted me in a prior investigation I had initiated of these concerns.

. That by the end of 2001, after I had initially been encouraged to develop manuscripts and contribute toward publications highlighting benefits resulting from vaccination, three manuscripts based on my research that supported adverse effects of vaccination had been neglected by my superiors for one to two years, despite my repeated requests to have them reviewed and passed on to higher authorities at the CDC. (Interestingly, and perhaps more revealing, my superiors saw fit to release for publication the same day they were submitted, or at most after several weeks, all positive data and other reports I or they contributed that showed benefits of the vaccination program.)

. That my colleagues and superiors not only declined my offer to include them as co-authors of these manuscripts about the adverse effects of vaccination, after I resigned for conscience's sake from the project in October 2002, the public health department pressured the county legal department to threaten legal action against me, in an attempt to prevent my subsequent independent efforts to publish these findings in scientific journals.

Despite these threats, I've decided to make public the very circumstances surrounding this cover up, in the very book you're reading - a book that you'll find is part true medical mystery, part expose, and in part an urgent call to public awareness and action.

As this book, based on my eight years' experience on the project, unfolds, you'll read how.

. My project superiors' actions (and inactions) made it seem as



if they wanted to hear no distressing news whatsoever concerning the vaccine, only "good news" that mimicked existing results in other studies.

. When I brought my project superiors what I and (later) other renowned (and independent) epidemiological researchers considered preliminary evidence suggesting that the very vaccination program they had seemed so intent (though with inadequate forethought) on pursuing might well trigger a massive epidemic of a far worse disease, my superiors and the CDC tried to employ (improperly, I believe) various statistical methods and other means, to (1) discredit my evidence, then (2) cause me to abandon my further investigation of this disastrous possible outcome, and then (3) suppress both scholarly and general public knowledge of it.

. But perhaps insufficient forethought about the possible effects of vaccination wasn't what was at fault at all. When I considered another factor - that the federally funded vaccination campaign actually paid for all vaccinations administered to qualified children, which meant that the pharmaceutical companies, using both the CDC and public health departments as distribution channels for vaccine, would be enriched monetarily for each inoculation - I found it not unrealistic to conjecture this possibility: that these combined interests may have been quite willing to allow the epidemic I warned of to spread. Why? Because perhaps they even had hoped soon to profit from the epidemic's spread and subsequent cure, via another vaccine, currently under trial by the same pharmaceutical company that provided the initial childhood vaccine.

In fact, readers of this book may come to hold public health agencies accountable for promoting universal vaccination that could potentially cause a painful disease epidemic of many years' duration among adults - one far worse than the childhood disease they're currently vaccinating against. And readers may come to consider these agencies guilty of profiting from the suffering of countless thousands (even millions) of American people.

By now you may be wondering how and why a non-medical doctor, a scientist like me with a Ph.D. in Computer Science, a Bachelor of Science degree in Engineering and many creative achievements as a "bits-and-bytes" person, has come to write a book like this, about a field remote from my experience prior to this project?

To clearly analyze and discern disease trends that might have passed by other eyes unnoticed, maybe it took someone from outside the field of epidemiology (the science that deals with the incidence, distribution and control of disease in populations, or, as another simple definition puts it, the science of the occurrence of human disease) - someone who could think outside the field's "traditional boxes."

Maybe it took someone who'd suffered, as I had, from the excruciating pain caused by the disease that I feared would be sparked by the vaccination of every child - someone who felt physically ill, as I did, even imagining the suffering others might endure while a vaccination-spurred epidemic of this disease raged.

Maybe it took someone to write this book whose conscience was sufficiently bothered that individuals and groups with special interests might enjoy enormous profits at the expense of huge numbers of other people's suffering.

Maybe it took someone whose sojourn in the world of medicine had led him to fear that what he saw of this project might be but the tip of a sinister iceberg, one made up of corporate greed and influence-peddling, of medical arrogance and worst of all, of precipitous, and in this case nearly heedless, medical experimentation on a largely unwary public.

Thus, though this book will deal mainly with my specific research

experiences and findings concerning one particular vaccination's potentially frightening outcomes, I believe the issues raised can by extension be applied to future research that may also have profound effects on this nation's health and wealth. For I've come to suspect that even the eyes of some of today's leaders in "objective science" can become clouded by the age-old "cataracts" of money, power and reputation.

I've come to suspect that even some of today's most eminent men and women of science and medicine might turn crassly confident and come to think that if the vaccines or medications they recommend for diseases should cause adverse reactions and create other diseases - well, they'll be able to find other vaccines or medications for those, too, in an endless cycle involving more and more diseases, to be cured and prevented by more and more pharmaceuticals.

I've come to consider it possible that, if some leaders become blinded by private and public money, humans suffering in large numbers may diminish in their eyes to mere collections of blots on statistical charts. And if so, such leaders might well, if they're not stopped, continue experimenting on humans en masse, to our hurt.

Even as I share these fears with you let me make several key matters clear. First, I am not writing this book to decry all vaccination of all children against all diseases. Vaccination against many potentially serious diseases has proved a great blessing to mankind. Yet I am convinced, by my research concerning the specific common childhood disease that I studied, that the scientific community as well as the general public must maintain an attitude of constant alertness and vigilance that will ensure that all new medicines, vaccines and procedures are thoroughly tested and determined to be safe in light of all possible outcomes - positive and deleterious - before huge numbers of people are subjected to them and state laws mandate them.

We must demand of our public health authorities that each individual vaccine be evaluated separately and considered based on its own merits and/or demerits, and not merely and automatically be regarded as safe just because a majority of previously licensed vaccines have proved cost-effective and successful and caused few adverse reactions.

Sadly, if you and I don't make ourselves aware of and "inoculate" ourselves against the kinds of abuses of public money and trust this book exposes, we may, sooner or later, find ourselves living in an age, not only of the planned product obsolescence we now endure from profit-hungry manufacturers, we may also experience an age of planned disease-and-cure cycles bestowed on us by unethical medical authorities and pharmaceutical firms.

What can we do to protect ourselves from such frightening possibilities?

I have taken the initiative to submit my three manuscripts, which my superiors likely read but gave me no substantive feedback about, to respected scientific journals that have agreed, after thorough review, by their editorial staffs and scientific experts ("peers") in epidemiology, to publish them.

Through my own research I've located and begun to share ideas and findings with other scientists whose own highly regarded work echoes my concerns. And I've found that some of these scientists have been waiting for years to see just the kind of epidemiological data I've helped collect and analyze. Hopefully, they and I will sound a cautionary alarm throughout the scientific community. I've also written this book to alert parents and others (who may or may not have medical backgrounds) to dangers to our health that we may face from overly optimistic propaganda promoted by trusted institutions toward which we've often looked for preventatives and cures.

Ultimately, whether medical and pharmaceutical leaders conduct research and practice the healing arts ethically, may well depend upon the pressure exerted on them as a result of expositions of unethical conduct regarding the kinds of issues this book raises. And, as I will argue at greater length in the pages that follow, truly objective vaccine research will only become attainable when research data and results are allowed to be corroborated among independent experts, immune from the influence of (a) sponsoring agencies that selectively control which data and results are reported, (b) vaccine profiteering motives, and (c) other conflicts of interest.

My motivation for writing this book isn't to gain either prestige or profit. Rather, this work represents an attempt on my part to fulfill a moral obligation to warn my own family, my friends, my community and the world at large that we all may need to take a more active role in decision-making that affects our own and our children's and grandchildren's health.

This book issues in part a call that "ordinary" citizens should not give carte blanche support or put "automatic" trust in all state-mandated healthcare decisions and mandates that may be suggested by officials and/or politicians. It also is intended to alert healthcare and political authorities about the potential consequences of a vaccination policy they've set in motion, and to highlight the responsibility they may ultimately bear for increased suffering and death, despite their perhaps good intentions to improve public health on a mass scale.

I now urge you to read on with these thoughts in mind and weigh carefully the evidence I bring to the pages that follow. I will make every effort to state the evidence clearly enough even to cause you an "intra-ocular trauma" - that is, to hit you "right between the eyes." The responsibility will then rest with you, to act on what you learn, as your own informed conscience leads you.

\*\*\*\*

<http://www.injectionbook.com/pages/9/index.htm>

Los Angeles County Notice  
to Cease and Desist Publication

AND Gary's response via lawyer

\*\*\*\*\*

FICTION BOOK

<http://www.injectionbook.com/pages/1/index.htm>

INJECTION

A fiction medical thriller currently. Perhaps reality in year 2009.

Use Order Form Below

INJECTION is about behind-the-scene health issues and their effect on people in a town called Sycamore Springs from 2001 to 2018. A healthcare official with a hero complex convinces the community that a vaccine to protect against a common childhood disease is safe, when in fact, it has not been thoroughly tested. As events unfold, a deleterious effect caused by vaccination surfaces. Health authorities attempt to cover up the finding, but the results are tragic. This fiction account is based on research by Gary S. Goldman, Ph.D., who served nearly eight years as Research/Analyst on a project in a cooperative agreement with the Centers of Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).